



# **<u>THE</u>**LEADING PRACTICE COST REFERENCE CONTENT

# **#LEAD-ES20012BC**

A Cost Ontology & Cost Semantic Description, Views, Stakeholders and Concerns

Version Status: LEAD 3.0

# Contents

THE LEADING PRACTICE COST REFERENCE CONTENT #LEAD-ES20012BC	1
Overview of the Cost Reference Content	4
Introduction	4
Why use the Cost Reference Content?	4
Meta-objects relevant to the Cost Reference Content	5
The three main properties characterizing the Meta-Objects	8
Cost Objects and their usage in the Cost Templates	8
Cost Object related Specifics (e.g. Definition, Decomposition)	
Way of Thinking around Cost aspects	11
Usage of Cost Maps	11
The Cost Reference Content Architecture & Modelling Rules	
Way of Working around Cost aspects	15
Usage of Cost Matrices	15
The Cost Reference Content Architecture & Modelling Rules	15
Cost-Business Area/Group Matrix	16
Cost-Service Area/Group Matrix	17
Cost-Business Process Area/Group Matrix	
Cost-Roles Matrix	19
Way of Modelling around Cost aspects	20
Cost Model	21
Way of Implementing	35
Roles involved	

Conclusion	40
© Copyright note on Intellectual Capital: All rights reserved	41
Guidelines for LEAD community members using the IPR material	41
Guidelines for non-LEAD community members using the IPR material	42
General guidelines that apply for all LEAD IPR material	42

# **Overview of the Cost Reference Content**

# Introduction

The LEADing Practice Cost Reference Content provides cost ontology with its specific cost descriptions, semantic relations and correlations, It is based on a collection of best and leading practice around cost modelling, cost engineering and cost architecture disciplines all related to cost identification, cost planning, cost creation, cost realization as well as cost management and cost governance. The Cost Reference Content is therefore and essential part for any practitioner working with and around cost aspects. It provides a structural way of thinking, working, modelling, implementation and governance around cost definitions e.g. external forces influencing and impacting the cost drivers. As well as an overview of the key cost aspects of the organisation and how they relate to the various strategies, critical success factors, goals, business area and groups, the business owners, stakeholders and their cost requirements as well as a way of cost modelling, cost engineering and cost architecture, where the Cost Reference Content provides a way of analysing, appraising, approximating, assessing and capturing cost related objects to enable innovation and transformation.



Figure 1: The Cost Objects are the semantic relations between the enterprise engineering, enterprise modelling, and enterprise architecture enabling transformation and innovation.

### Why use the Cost Reference Content?

- It provides cost ontology with its specific cost <u>descriptions</u>, semantic relations and correlations.
- It defines how to organize and structure the viewpoints and objects associated with cost related enterprise engineering, enterprise modelling, and enterprise architecture.

- Established guiding principles for creating, interpreting, analysing and using cost objects within a particular domain and/or layers of an enterprise or an organization.
- Using the Cost Reference Content is done through a set of principles e.g. how and where can the cost objects be related (and where not).
- It is vendor neutral and agnostic and can therefore be used with most existing frameworks, methods and or approaches that have any of the meta-objects mentioned in this document.
- It has captured a repeatable pattern for cost related objects, structures as well as artefacts (the basis of our standards)
- It has cost standards that increase the level of re-usability and replication for cost identification, cost planning, cost creation, cost realization as well as cost management and cost governance.
- It has a fully integrated and standardized cost maps, matrices and models that allow for advanced ways of cost thinking, working, modelling and implementation.

#### Meta-objects relevant to the Cost Reference Content

<u>The following LEAD objects are the most relevant to cost aspects within the Cost Reference Content</u> and its templates:

Forces (external & internal)	Pressures that arise from outside or inside a system. In the context of an enterprise, forces typically refer to those factors that can have an effect on parts or full aspects of an organization
Drivers (external/internal)Driver & Forces (external/internal)	An external or internal factor, which influences and pushes some aspect of an enterprise in a specific direction An external or internal factor that pushes some aspect of an enterprise in a specific direction a
Vision & Mission	The mission describes the purpose and nature of the enterprise and the vision expresses its desired or aspirational future state $_{\rm A}$
Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)	The direction and ends that the enterprise seeks, as well as the means and methods by which these ends will be attained.
Goal (e.g. business, application, technology)	A desired result considered a part of the organizational direction, aims, targets, and aspirations,
Objective (Critical Success Factor)	Time-bounded milestones to measure and gauge the progress towards a strategy or goal
Driver Type: Performance	Those variables that are critical to develop the means and overall presentation of an enterprise.
Performance Indicator (business) Tier: Strategic, Tactical, or Operational	The classification of the metrics used by an enterprise as being Strategic, Tactical, or Operational in nature
Driver Type: Value	A Categorization of the factors that advance action and principles toward a specific direction
Value Indicator (Critical Success Factor)	A measure of the critical benefit or merit endeavours intended to be attained (and which is believed to be attainable) within an enterprise.

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), Not Italic, Font color: Auto Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), Not Bold,

Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color:

#### Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Headings (Cambria), Not Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Auto

<u>Value Type</u>	A categorization of value objects into high, medium and low types based on the specific attributes of the value object	<b>Formatted:</b> Font: +Headings (Cambria), 9 pt color: Auto	, Bold, Font
Value Expectation	The anticipated benefits that are of worth, importance, and significance to a	Formatted Table	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	specific stakeholder.	Formatted	
Value Proposition	The merit and benefit that a customer, added value partner, or the market itself	Formatted	
	can obtain from their perspective and point of view.	Formatted	
Performance Expectation	The <desire for="" the=""> manner in which, or the efficiency with which, something</desire>	Formatted	
Stuckowy (Stuckowie Dusin ose	The direction and and a that the entermine cools as well on the means and	Formatted	 [
Objective)	methods by which these ends will be attained.	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), Font	t color: Auto
Goal (e.g. business, application,	A desired result considered a part of the direction, aims, targets, and aspirations	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), Font	t color: Auto
technology)	of the enterprise,	Formatted	
Objective (Critical Success	Time-bounded milestones to measure and gauge the progress towards a strategy	Formatted	
Factor Value Expectation	or goal The anticipated benefits that are of worth, importance, and significance to	Formatted	 [
		Formatted	 [
Business Measure	A number or quantity that records a directly observable value or performance, enabling a basis for comparison: a reference point against which other things can	Formatted	
	be evaluated	Formatted	
Report	The exposure, description, and portrayal of information, about the status,	Formatted	
	direction or execution of work within the functions, services, processes, and	Formatted Table	
	resources of the enterprise,	Formatted	
Timing	A period or interval, as between two successive events. The system of those sequential relations that any event has to any other, as past, present, or future	Formatted	 
Organizational Construct	The components of the experimetion and how they are excepted.	Formatted	<u> </u>
Organizational Construct	Line components of the organization and now they are assembled	Formatted	
Business Area <mark>Value Driver</mark>	<u>The highest level meaningful grouping of the activities of the enterprise.</u> A factor	Formatted	(
	specific direction.	Formatted	
Business Groun <del>Performance</del>	An aggregation within an enterprise which is within a Business Area. The manner	Formatted	
Expectation	in which, or the efficiency with which, something reacts or fulfils its intended	Formatted	 
	purpose as anticipated by a specific stakeholder	Formatted	
Business Competency	An integrated and holistic set of interconnected knowledge, skills, and abilities,	Formatted	 
	<u>combined, enable the enterprise to act in a particular situation</u>	Formatted	
Business Competency Type	The classification of competencies is into Core Differentiated Competencies, core	Formatted	
	competitive competencies or non-core competencies. Sorting the role played by	Formatted	
	each competency in the creation of value and in the execution of the enterprise's	Formatted	
Denimona Denamona (Alabara		Formatted	
Business Resource/ Actor	A specific person, system or organization internal or external to the enterprise that initiates or interacts with the defined functions and activities. Actors may be	Formatted	
	internal or external to an organization.	Formatted	
Business Role	A part that someone or something has in a particular defined function, activity or	Formatted	
	situation. A resource/actor may have a number of roles.	Formatted	(
Business Owner	A role performed by an actor with the rules, competencies and capabilities to take	Formatted	
		Formatted Table	
Business Object	Expresses real-world objects relevant to the business	Formatted	
Cost	The economic costs that a business incurs through its operations	Formatted	
Revenue	The realised income of an enterprise or part thereof	Formatted	
	presente a monto or an enterprise or put effeteting	Formatted	
		Formatted	
		Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria) Font	t color: Auto
		Formatted	
		ronnatteu	L

Product	A result and output generated by the business. It has a combination of tangible and intangible attributes (features, functions, usage),	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Location	A geographic space demarked by a closed set of connected lines which intersect	Formatted	
	through a series of points - The name of a location may be a facility, place or position	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr color: Auto	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Service Construct (setup and	The set up and arrangement, which creates, organizes and delivers the services.	Formatted	
<u>delivery</u> )		Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Service Area	A high level, conceptual, aggregation of provided services.	color: Auto	
Service Group	An aggregation of services based on a common factor or domain which exist within a common service area	Formatted Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Business ServicePerformance	The externally visible ("logical") deed, or effort performed to satisfy a need or to		
Driver	fulfil a demand, meaningful to the environment. Those variables that are critical           to develop the means and overall presentation of an enterprise.	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Service Measurement (SPIs & SLAs)	The basis by which the enterprise evaluates or estimates the nature, quality,	color: Auto	
	ability, or extent of the services. The commitments of a service are assessed	Formatted	
Service Owner	A role performed by an actor with the rules, competencies and capabilities to take	Formatted	
	decisions for the service for which the owner is accountable.	Formatted	
Business Process	A set of structured activities or tasks, with logical behaviour that produce a specific service or product	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr color: Auto	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Process Step	A conceptual set of behaviours bound by the scope of a process which, each time it	Formatted	
	is executed leads to a single change of inputs (form or state) into a single specified output. Each process step is a unit of work normally performed within the	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr color: Auto	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
	<u>constraints of a set of rules by one or more actors in a role that are engaged in</u> changing the state of one or more resources or business objects to create a single	Formatted Table	
	desired output	Formatted	
Process ActivityValue Proposition	A part of the actual physical work system which specifies how to complete the change in the form or state of an input, oversee, or even achieve the completion of	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr color: Auto	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
	an interaction with others actors and which results in the making of a complex	Formatted	
	decision based on knowledge, judgment, experience, and institutt. The merit and benefit that a customer, added value partner, or the market itself can obtain from	Formatted	
	their perspective and point of view.	Formatted	
<u>Process Flow (incl. Input/output)</u>	A stream, sequence, course, succession, series, progression, all based on the process input output states, where each process input/output defines the process	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr color: Auto	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
	flow that together executes a behaviour,	Formatted	[
Process Measurement (PPI)	<u>The basis by which the enterprise evaluates or estimates the nature, quality.</u> ability, extent, as to whether a process or activity is performing as desired.	Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambr color: Auto	ia), 9 pt, Bold, Font
Process Owner	A role performed by an actor with the fitting rights, competencies, and	Formatted	
	capabilities to take decisions to ensure work is performed.	Formatted	 [
Application Owner	A role performed by an actor with the fitting rights, competencies, and	Formatted	 [
	owner is responsible for.	Formatted	
System Measurement	Measures that are defined and implementable within an application,	Formatted	 
Data Owner	A role performed by an actor with the rights compotencies and canabilities to	Formatted	[
	take decisions about the aspects of data for which the owner is responsible.	Formatted	
Platform Owner	A role performed by an actor with the fitting rights, competencies, and	Formatted	(
R	capabilities to take decisions about the platform devices the owner is responsible	Formatted	<u> </u>
	tor	Formatted	
Infrastructure Owner	A role performed by an actor with the rights, competencies, and capabilities to	Formatted	[
	responsible for,	Formatted	
Reporting	The exposure description and portraval of information about the status	Formatted	 [
reporting	direction or execution of work within the functions, services, processes, and	Formatted	 
	resources of the enterprise	Formatted	

Figure 2: The 462 valuecost meta-objects.

#### The three main properties characterizing the Performance Mmeta-Oobjects

In order to have a structured way of thinking, working and modelling within the <del>Value</del>Cost Reference Content, the three main properties characterizing the meta-objects relevant to modelling and architecture principles are applied:

- **Identity:** the decomposed valuecost objects that distinguishes it from other meta-objects areas.
- State: describes the purpose of the composed object<u>, to the other relevant objects and disciplines.</u>
- Behaviour: describes how the decomposed or composed objects can be used with other meta-object's relations across other modelling disciplines and architectural layers.
   describes how the decomposed or composed objects can be used, as well as how it can 
   or could be used with other meta-object's relations identifying, creating and realizing value across other modelling disciplines and architectural layers.

#### ValueCost Objects and their usage in the ValueCost Templates

The ValueCost Reference Content templates consist of both valuecost maps, valuecost matrices and valuecost models that capture the relevant valuecost meta-objects. Each of these is based on a specific view to a related valuecost topic and thereby with particular stakeholder concern, modelling and architecture rules related to enable valuecost identification, creation, and realization in achieving the outlined needs and wants. For this the ValueCost Reference Content templates identify the relevant stakeholders relevant, their requirements and concerns, the valuecost object descriptions and their modelling and architecture rational, corresponding rules, and aarchitecture views and viewpoints; each of these artefacts are built, built as templates to support a particular need and want.

Fully integrated and standardized <u>value</u>cost templates enable the strategist, <u>value</u>cost expert/practitioner or architect (<u>value</u>cost or business architect) to work with the relevant <u>value</u>cost meta-objects throughout all the architectural layers (business, application and technology). Advanced <u>value</u>cost modelling and relating the relevant objects throughout the layers is one of the strengths of the <u>Value</u>Cost Reference Content. Not only are the <u>value</u>cost objects governed by its connection modelling rules, but also how and where the <u>value</u>cost templates interlink and share common objects is defined and standardized. Formatted: Indent: Left: 1 cm, No bullets or numbering

		The LEAD Cost Templates																													
LEAD Templates & LEAD Meta Object Relations			Vision, Mission & Goals (VMG)	Requirement (Rq)	Stakeholder (ST)	Strategy (5)	Value (V)	Balanced Scorecard (BSC)	Performance (Pe)	Measurem ent & Reporting (MR)	Competency/Business Model (BC)	Revenue (Rev)	Cost (Co)	Operating (Op)	Information (I)	Role (Ro)	Owner (O)	Organizational Chart (OC)	Object(Ob)	Workflow (WF)	Rule (Ru)	Channel (Ch)	Media (Me)	Process (P)	BPM Notations (BPMN)	Service (Se)	Application (A)	System Measurements /Reporting (A M)	Data (D)	Platform (PL)	Infrastructure (IF)
	Forces ( <u>external</u> & internal)	1,2,3	2	2	2	2	2				2.3											2.3				2.3					
	Drivers (external & internal)	1,2,3	2.3	2	2	2	1.2	2	2	2	2.3			2								2.3				2.3					
	Value Indicator (Critical Success Factor)		2	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3	2	2.3	2.3	2	2	2	2.3		2							2.3		2		2.3			
	Value Type (high, medium, low)		2	2	1.2	2	1,2,3	2	2	1,2,3		1,2,3	1,2,3																		
	Value Expectation	2		1.2	1,2,3	2	1,2,3	2	2	2	2.3	2	2	2			2							2.3		2.3					
	Value Proposition						1.2				2																				
	Driver Type: Performance	1,2,3		2.3				1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3	2	2	2	2.3									2.3	2	2					
	Performance Indicator			2.3				1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3	2	2	2	2.3									2.3	2	2					
	Performance Expectation			1.2	1,2,3			2	1,2,3	1.2	2.3		2				2							2.3		2.3					
	Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)		2	2		1,2,3	1.2	2.3			2.3	2	2									-				2					
	Goal (e.g. business, application, etc.)	2	1.2	2	2	2.3	1.2				2.3	2	2	2		2.3	2.3	2				2.3	2.3	2.3		2	2		2	2	2
	Objective		2			1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3		1,2,3	2.3	2	2											2.3		2					
	Business measure			2.3			2	1.2.3	1.2.3	1.2.3	2.3	2	2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3				_	-	_	2.3	2	2					
	Timing	2	2	2		2.3	2	2.3	1.2.3	1.2.3	2	2	2	2.3	2		2			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2.3	2	2	2
	Quality			2	2		2	-	2	23			2	23			2			_	2	_	_					23	2		
	Benorting	-	-	12	-	23	-	23	123	123	23	2	-		2		12		_		-	-	_		2	2			-	_	
	Organizational Construct	-	-		2.3				-1-70	-,-,-	2	-	-		-	2.3	2.3	1.2.3			_	-	_		-	-		-		_	
	Business Area	12	2	12	123	123	23		23	23	123	12	12	12		23	23	123	_	23	_	23	_		-	-	-	-		_	
	Business Group	12	2	12	123	123	23		23	23	123	1.2	12	1.2		2.3	23	123	-	2.3	_	2.3	_		-	-	-	-		-	
	Besource/Actor		-	12		-,-,-					123			123				1.2	-		-	2.3	_	2	-	2	-	-		-	
	Burinerr Poler	-	-	122							122		2	1 2 2		12		1 2 2	2.2	_	_	2.2	_	2	-	2	-		1	1	
TS	Buriners Competency	2	-	1 2	122						2.2	12	12	1 2 2		4.4		1,1,5	2.5	-	-	~~	_	-	-	2	-		-		
2	Buriners Competency type (Differentiated Competitive or	<u> </u>	-		4,4,5						A	4.4	4.4	4,4,5						-	-	-	_		-	-	-	<u> </u>		-	
	Non-Core)	2		1.2	2.3						2.3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3		2.3			2		2		2		2.3					
ō	levelier	2	1	1.2	1 2 2	2	2	2	1.2	1 2 2	1.2	1 2 2	122	1 2 2	2.2	1 2 2	2.2	1.2.2	2	2	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.2.2
Å.	Deschust	2	2	1.2	1,2,5	2	2	2 2 2	2.2	1,2,5	1.2	1,2,5	1,2,5	1,2,5	2.5	1,2,3	1.3.3	1,2,5	2	4	23	2.3	2.5	1.2	3	1 2 2	2	2	2	2	1,2,5
E	Fibliot	4	- 2	1.2	1.2	2	2	2.5	23	45	2	1,2,5	1,2,5	1.2	2	2	1,2,3	2			-	43	2		-	1,2,3	- 2	2.5	2	_	2
5	Cost	-	_	2.3							2.3		1,2,3	1.2		2		2			_	_	_		-	_	_	<u> </u>			
2	Revenue	-	_	2							2.3	1,2,3	-	1.2		_				4.0.0		_	_		-	_	_	<u> </u>			
.s	Object (Business & Information)			1.2							1.0.0				1,2,3		4.0		1.2	1,2,3	2.3	_	_		_	_	_			_	
8		4	2	1.2	1,2,3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.5	1,2,3		1.2	1.2	_			_		2	-		-	2.3			
	Service Construct (setup & delivery)	1.0		2.3	3						2	2	2	3					_	0.0	-	_			-	1,2,3	-				
	Service Area	1.2	2	1.2	1,2,3	1,2,3			2.3	2.3			2	2		2.3	2.3	2.3	_	2.3	-	_				1,2,3	-				
	service Group	1.2	2	1.2	1,2,3	1,2,3			2.3	2.3			2	2		2.3	2.3	2.3	_	2.3		_			2.3	1,2,3					
	Business Service	2		1.2	1,2,3	-			100	1.0.0		2	2						_		2.3	_			2.3	1,2,3			2		
	Service measurement (SPI & SLA)			2	100			2.3	1,2,3	1,2,3	1.0.0	2.3		2.2			1.0		_			_			2	2.3					
	Service Owner	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3			2.3	2.3	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.3			1.2		2.2	_		_			2.3	1.2					
	Service koles			1.2		_		<u> </u>			1,2,3	-	2	-		1.2			2.3			_		2		1.2	-		1	1	
	Business Process	2	-	1.2	1,2,3	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			Z	2	2	1					-	2.3	2.3	_		1,2,3	2.3	2	2				
	Process Step	<u> </u>		Z.3	_	_						2	2							2.3	2.3	_		1,2,3	3	2	2				
	Process Activity	<u> </u>		Z.3	_	_						2	2							2.3	2.3	_		1,2,3	3	2	2				
	Process Flow (incl. Input/output)	-		2.3								3	3						2	1,2,3		_		3	3	2.3					
	Process Roles	L		Z.3							1,2,3		2			1.2			2.3			_		2.3	2.3	1.2			1	1	
	Process Measurement (PPI)			2.3				2.3	1,2,3	1,2,3		2.3	2.3											2	2.3			1.2			
	Process Owner	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3			2.3	2.3	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.3			1.2							1,2,3	2.3	2.3					
	System Measurements			2.3				2.3	1,2,3	1,2,3		2.3	2.3											2	2.3		2	2.3			
	Application/System Owner	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3			2.3	2.3	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.3			1.2										1	1,2,3			
	Application Roles			1.2							1,2,3		2			1.2			2.3					2		1.2			2	1	
	Data Owner	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3			2.3	2.3	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.3			1.2											2.3	2		
	Platform Owner	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3			2.3	2.3	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.3			1.2											2.3		1.2	
	Infrastructure Owner	2	2	1,2,3	1,2,3	2.3			2.3	2.3	1,2,3	1.2	1.2	2.3			1.2											2.3			1.2
-			_							_				_				_		_						-					_

\_Value is used here as the a measure of worth (source: McManus, H.L. 2005), or, put differently; a regard, merit, importance or worth given to something. It is the basis for showing a preference i.e. making a choice (source: Penquin Dictionary of Civil Engineering). Since **'value**' is that which makes some party appreciate a service or product, possibly in relation to providing it, but more typically to acquiring it. Value definitions and concepts centre on the link to:

The valuecost templates are maps, matrices and models. The maps are often in the form of a list and are a representation of the decomposed valuecost objects, while the matrices are the continuity of and interconnection between a map (a representation of decomposed objects) and a representation of interconnected and related objects. Models often show the graphical representation of the relations and connections. The maps, matrices and models are used in the decomposition and composition work within and throughout the layers. The specific templates do not only show which objects are within what template, thereby specifying if it is a map, matrix or model, it furthermore shows where the object of one template can be reused in another template.

# **Cost** <u>Object related Specifics (e.g. Definition, Decomposition)</u>

Costs for LEAD refer to the economic costs that a business incurs through its operations.

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria), 12 pt

Cost can be **decomposed** into the following objects:

- Cost Type (High, Medium, Low)
- Cost Flow
- Competency (Business Area and Group)
- Competency Type (Core Differentiated, Core Competitive, Non-Core)
- Strategy (Cost Strategy)
- Owner.

Formatted: Normal

# Way of Thinking around Cost aspects

The Way of Thinking around Cost disciplines is essential<u>, as it is the basis of the guiding principles</u> <u>around the Cost Reference Content.</u> It provides a structural concept around strategic cost definitions e.g. wants, needs, identification, goals, issues and problems. The way of cost thinking furthermore postulates about what ought to be, <u>including specifying</u> the right cost abstraction level<u>.</u> <u>The way of thinking does the following: it analyses</u>, appraises, approximates, assesses and captures<u>all aspects of the cost objects and artefacts; their</u> idea, design, plan, scheme and structure<u>. All this</u> in order to understand the underlying cost concept, thought, view, vision as well as perspective, philosophy and belief.

The purpose of having a common way of thinking around cost concepts is to define how to organize and structure the viewpoints and cost objects associated with the various disciplines e.g. enterprise engineering, enterprise modelling and enterprise architecture applying the concepts. The cost reference concept has proven to help companies with some of the most common and complex advanced cost principles, dilemmas and challenges that companies have to confront today.

This includes, but is not limited to:

- The definition of a cost model.
- Clear cost assignment to its context; value proposition, cost drivers, goals and objectives, owners, measurement & reporting.
- Clear focus on the costs of core competitive, core differentiating and non-core business areas.
  The right cost-cutting programmes in view of the chosen strategy and the external and
- internal forces and drivers, e.g. the economical situation.

Chapter 'Way of Modelling around Cost aspects' addresses these challenges in more detail.

What many organizations do not realize is that there is something common within all the mentioned areas where cost aspects need to be applied. The common things are the cost objects. We have through research and analysis identified the semantic relations of the various cost objects and how they can be applied within different disciplines. The relations of the cost objects are built into our cost templates e.g. cost maps, cost matrices and or cost models.

#### **Usage of Cost Maps**

A Cost Map is an accurate list and representation of the decomposed and/or composed Cost Objects. Therefore the cost map provides an overview of the key costs of the organisation and their specific income, business competency area and -group, their location/place in the organisational construct/chart, their stakeholder, and their business unit owner, branch owner and department owner. The cost maps are often portrayed in the form of a list, which can range from a simple row to a catalogue of cost objects. It has the purpose of building an inventory or index list of the cost objects that are to be decomposed and/or composed and thereby applied in the different Layers (business, application and technology).

## The ValueCost Reference Content Architecture & Modelling Rules

The valuecost map should capture the key costs of the organisation and their specification in strategy, objective, performance, competencies, strategic business objectives, critical success factors, stakeholders, owner, and organisation chart. value

		What/which	specification:	Where	e specificatio	Where specification:			
Cost #	Cost Cutting Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)	Cost Cutting Objective (CSF, plan, forecast, budget)	Cost Cutting Performance Indicator (Strategic/Tactical/Operational)	Business Competency Area	Business Competency Group	Location /place	Stakeholder involved	Business Unit Owner	Area Owner/ Manager
#									
#									
#									

A part of the LEADing Practice Modelling and Architecture Principles and Templates

Figure 4: ValueCost map with decomposed valuecost objects.

The value cost map's capturing should be based on enterprise modelling- and architecture rules and is related to LEAD tasks. Therefore for each individual column of the value cost map their applicable decomposition- (D), primary- (P) and secondary (S) relationship related rules (Rule) as well as the related tasks (Task) are described below:

Objectiv	e).	4
Rules	(D) <del>Value</del> Cost relates to Strategy.	*
Tasks	• Link the business strategy through strategic business objectives to; 1. 1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output Identify, label and categorize the cost flows	•
The 'what Budget)	at/which' specification in terms of which cost cutting Objective (CSF, Plan, Forecast,	4
Rules	(D) <del>Value</del> Cost relates to Objective (CSF, Plan, Forecast, Budget)	•
Tasks	• Associate and attach objectives, critical success factors, plans, forecasts and budgets to: 1. Hig cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.	h

**Formatted:** None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

**Formatted:** None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at 8,5 cm + 17 cm

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at 8,5 cm + 17 cm

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

The 'wha Tactical,	t/which' specification in terms of which cost cutting Performance Indicator (Strategic, Operational).			
Rules	(D) Cost relates to Performance (Strategic-, Tactical and Operational performance Indicator)			
Tasks	• Link and relate performance indicators, operational performance indicators, tactical performance operations and strategic performance indicators, to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.			
The 'whe	ere' specification in terms of which Business Competency Area.Value	•	Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Rules	(S) <del>Value</del> Cost relates to Competency (Business Area).	•	Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Tasks	• Map: Recognize and group the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output of each business area.		Form same	atted: None, Add space between paragraphs of the style, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together,
The 'whe	ere' specification in terms of which Business Competency Group.		Form	with next. Don't keep lines together
Rules	(S) Cost relates to Competency (Business Group).			
Tasks	• Recognize and group the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output of each business group.		Form	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
The 'whe	ere' specification in terms of location.	•	Form	<b>atted:</b> None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Rules	(P) <del>Value</del> Cost relates to Location.		Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Tasks	• Identify and label cost to location.		Form space next, 17 cm	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Add between paragraphs of the same style, Don't keep with Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at 8,5 cm + n
The 'who	/whom' specification in terms of which stakeholder is involved.	•	Form	natted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next Don't keep lines together
Rules	(P) ValueCost relates to Role and Resource/Actor (Stakeholder).	•	Form	iatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Tasks	• Identify the stakeholders that belong to which cost flow.	•	Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
The 'who	/whom' specification in terms of which Business Unit Owner is involved.		Form keep 8,5 cr	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at m + 17 cm
Rules	(S) <del>Value</del> Cost relates to Owner (Business Unit Owner).	•	Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Tasks	• Identify which business unit owner belongs to which: 1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.	*	Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
The 'who	o/whom' specification in terms of which Area Owner/Manager is involvedvalue.		Form keep 8,5 cr	<b>atted:</b> None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at $m + 17$ cm
Rules	(S) ValueCost relates to Owner (Area Owner/Manager)Value	•	Form keep	iatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Tasks	• Identify which area owner/manager belongs to which: 1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.	•	Form keep	atted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
Figure 5	How <del>value</del> cost is based on rules and relates to LEAD tasks.		Form	<b>atted:</b> None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together
-			Form keep 8,5 cr	<b>atted:</b> None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at m + 17 cm

www.LEADingPractice.com

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

# Way of Working around ValueCost aspects

The <u>Value</u>Cost Way of Working is critical discipline of translating both strategic planning and effective execution. Structure the arrangement of effort and work, by translating the "Way of Thinking" into a structural way of working. The Way of Working organizes, classifies, aligns, arranges, quantifies, recommends and selects the <u>value</u>cost objects and with it the relevant <u>value</u>cost template in a systemized and categorized way they need to be de-composed (broken down) or composed (related) together.

The Way of Working is where one defines the best suitable technique, manner, routine and method that will help the practitioner to ensure integrity, accuracy and completeness of each particular task related to the rule that ensures the right <u>value</u>cost relation. The <u>value</u>cost way of working is therefore a series of phases with a collection of activities that the user of the <u>value</u>cost methods needs to follow and undertake in order to reach a specific goal/outcome. The below specified way of working therefore structures the practitioner's techniques in applying the right semantic principles, rules, procedures and practices.

#### Usage of ValueCost Matrices

The ValueCost Matrices are a representation that accurately shows the relationship between specific decomposed and composed valuecost objects. The core idea of a the valuecost matrices is that they consists of the valuecost objects that have primary and thereby direct natural relations, these are always in a list form (row and columns) and the valuecost objects that need to be related to them. This is seen in the valuecost matrices as the cross product between the rows and columns. This allows within the valuecost matrix to relate the unfamiliar to the familiar valuecost objects in the different layers (composition), which represents the matrix diagram (rows and columns). These ontology and semantic based valuecost relations have been standardized to ensure reusability and replication of success in outlining the right connection points that is actually based on a common relationship pattern of the valuecost objects.

#### The ValueCost Reference Content Architecture & Modelling Rules

The value cost matrix should capture the key costs of the organisation and their related business area and group, service area and group, business process area and group, and role. The purpose of the value cost matrix is to provide a clear overview of the key value costs of the organisation that will have to be defined, targeted and realized by filling in their related value cost aspects mentioned above. These are captured in separate matrixes as described below.

# **Value**Cost-Business Area/Group Matrix

This <del>Value</del>Cost-Business Area/Group Matrix shows the columns of the <del>Value</del>Cost Map in combination with the competency<del>value</del>; the which specification in terms of which business area and group. <del>value</del>

Business Area & Group (Which business area and group is involved)		What	t/which specifica	ation:	Whe	re specificat	ion:	Where specification:			
	Cost #	Cost Cutting Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)	Cost Cutting Objective (CSF, plan, forecast, budget)	Cost Cutting Performance Indicator (Strategic /Tactical/ Operational)	Business Compe- tency Area	Business Compe- tency Group	Location /place	Stake- holder involved	Busi- ness Unit Owner	Area Owner/ Manager	
Business Area /Group 1	#										
Business Area /Group 2	#										
Business Area /Group N	#										

A part of the LEADing Practice Modelling and Architecture Principles and Templates

*Figure 6: A matrix showing how value cost relates to business area and group.* 

The <u>Value</u>Cost-Business Area/Group Matrix's capturing should be based on enterprise modellingand architecture rules and is related to the LEAD tasks as described under the <u>Value</u>Cost Map. In addition to those rules and tasks, the following rules and tasks are related to competency (business area and group)<del>value</del>:

The wha	t/which specification in terms of which business area and group is involved.	-
Rules	(S) ValueCost relates to Competency (Business Area and Group)	
Tasks	<ul> <li>Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific business area and group.</li> </ul>	

Figure 7: A table showing how *value*cost objects relate to business area and group and the tasks associated with it.

**Formatted:** None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: Normal, None, No bullets or numbering, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at 8,5 cm + 17 cm

**Formatted:** None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

# ValueCost-Service Area/Group Matrix

This ValueCost-Service Area/Group Matrix shows the columns of the ValueCost Map in combination with the service: the 'which' in terms of which service area and group is involved.

Service Area & Group (Which service area and group is involved)		What	/which specifica	ation:	Whe	re specificat	ion:	Where specification:			
	Cost #	Cost Cutting Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)	Cost Cutting Objective (CSF, plan, forecast, budget)	Cost Cutting Performance Indicator (Strategic /Tactical/ Operational)	Business Compe- tency Area	Business Compe- tency Group	Location /place	Stake- holder involved	Busi- ness Unit Owner	Area Owner/ Manager	
Service Area /Group 1	#										
Service Area /Group 2	#										
Service Area /Group N	#										

A part of the LEADing Practice Modelling and Architecture Principles and Templates

Figure 8: A matrix showing how *value*cost relates to service area and group.

The <u>Value</u>Cost-Service Area/Group Map's capturing should be based on enterprise modelling- and architecture rules and is related to LEAD tasks as described under <u>Value</u>Cost Map. In addition to those rules and tasks, the following rules and tasks are related to service area and group<u>value</u>:

The 'which' specification in terms of which service area and group is involved.value						
Rules	(S) ValueCost relates to Service (Service Area and Group)Value	•				
Tasks	• Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific service area and group.	<u> </u>				

Figure 9: A table showing how *value*cost objects relate to service area and group and the tasks associated with it.

**Formatted:** None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: Normal, None, No bullets or numbering, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

# ValueCost-Business Process Area/Group Matrix

The ValueCost-Source Matrix shows the columns of both the ValueCost Map in combination with the source of the cost; the whence in terms of source specification, e.g. product, service or channel.value

Process Area & Group (Which process area and group are involved)		What	/which specifica	ation:	Whe	re specificat	ion:	Where specification:			
	Cost #	Cost Cutting Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)	Cost Cutting Objective (CSF, plan, forecast, budget)	Cost Cutting Performance Indicator (Strategic /Tactical/ Operational)	Business Compe- tency Area	Business Compe- tency Group	Location /place	Stake- holder involved	Busi- ness Unit Owner	Area Owner/ Manager	
Process Area /Group 1	#										
Process Area /Group 2	#										
Process Area /Group N	#										

A part of the LEADing Practice Modelling and Architecture Principles and Templates

Figure 10: A matrix showing how *value*cost relates to process area and group.

The <u>Value</u>Cost-Process Area/Group Matrix capturing should be based on enterprise modelling- and architecture rules and is related to <u>value</u>cost tasks as described under the <u>Value</u>Cost Map. In addition to those rules and tasks, the following rules and tasks are related to process area and group<del>value</del>:

The 'which' specification in terms of which process area and group is involved.						
Rules	(S) <del>Value</del> Cost relate to Process (Process Area and Group)					
Tasks	• Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific service area and group.					

Figure 11: A table showing how *value*cost objects relate to process area and group and the tasks associated with it.

Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together, Tab stops: Not at 8,5 cm + 17 cm

Formatted: Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt

# **Value**Cost-Roles Matrix

The ValueCost-Report Matrix shows the columns of both the ValueCost Map in combination with the value/performance report; cockpits, dashboards or scorecards. value

		What/which specification:				Where specification:			Where specification:			
Roles (which business roles are involved)	Cost #	Cost Cutting Strategy (Strategic Business Objective)	Cost Cutting Objective (CSF, plan, forecast, budget)	Cost Cutting Performance Indicator (Strategic /Tactical/ Operational)	Business Compe- tency Area	Business Compe- tency Group	Location /place	Stake- holder involved	Busi- ness Unit Owner	Area Owner/ Manager		
Business Role 1	#											
Business Role 2	#											
Business Role N	#											

A part of the LEADing Practice Modelling and Architecture Principles and Templates

Figure 12: A matrix showing how valuecost relates to business roles.

The <u>Value</u>Cost-Role Matrix capturing should be based on enterprise modelling- and architecture rules and is related to <u>value</u>cost tasks as described under the <u>Value</u>Cost Map. In addition to those rules and tasks, the following rules and tasks are related to role<u>value</u>:

<b>Business</b> A resourc	<b>Role:</b> A part that someone or something has in a particular defined function, activity or situation. ce/actor may have a number of roles.	4	Forma keep v
Rules	(S) <del>Value</del> Cost relate to Role (Business Role)	•	Forma
Tasks	• Link business roles to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output	•	Forma

Figure 13: A table showing how *value*cost objects relate to business roles and the tasks associated with it.

**Formatted:** None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

Formatted: Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt Formatted: None, Space Before: 6 pt, After: 6 pt, No

bullets or numbering, Don't keep with next, Don't keep lines together

# Way of Modelling around ValueCost aspects

The Cost Way of Modelling provides the means for the various practitioners working with cost aspects to assist them in defining the modelling principles required to make an objective assessment of the possible cost object relationships with other objects. The Value Way of Modelling is the means by which various practitioners working with value aspects follow in order to define the modelling principles to make an objective assessment of the possible. It provides a uniform and formal description of the models where the valuecost objects and artefacts within one or more different types of models can be portrayed. The valuecost models are a representation that graphically represent and shows the valuecost relationship and the interconnection of specific composed objects and complies with a specific set of rules for what the graphical components mean, and how they are connected to the rest of the business. The key ideal of a valuecost model is that it is a representation, an illustration, of a composition of information intended to represent an aspect of an enterprise (e.g. business, application and/or technology), using a specific set of rules, which express a logic or grammar.

Each practitioner working with value cost aspects has to be able to translate the "Way of Working" into a "Way of Modelling", which for the most part include the following:

- **Expressiveness:** the degree to which a given modelling technique is able to denote the models of any number and kinds of layered domains (business, application and technology).
- **Arbitrariness:** the degree of freedom one has when decomposing and composing different models on the same domain.
- **Suitability:** the degree to which a given modelling technique is specifically tailored for a specific kind of wanted output/result.
- **Comprehensibility:** the ease of how the way of working and way of modelling techniques are understood by participants.
- **Coherence:** the degree to which the individual sub-models of a way of modelling constitute a whole.
- **Completeness:** the degree to which all-necessary concepts of the application domains are represented in the way of modelling.
- **Efficiency:** the degree to which the modelling steps (e.g. LEADing Practice steps) use resources such as time and people.
- Effectiveness: the degree to which the modelling principles achieve its goals.
- Audit: the degree to which the end results of the models achieve its goals.

Based on already acquired information from the valuecost maps and/or a valuecost matrices (or both), a valuecost model is usually crafted to enable complex information to be used in different disciplines and within this to be communicated more easily to stakeholders, management and leadership. The fully integrated and standardized valuecost templates enable the practitioner to work and model with the valuecost objects throughout all the aspects of the enterprise (business, application and technology). Not only are the objects governed by its semantic relations and connection, also the specified valuecost modelling rules and tasks, which ensure how and where the valuecost templates interlink and share common valuecost objects is defined and standardized.

As we explore earlier is the <u>value</u>cost matrix is the continuity of and interconnection between a <u>value</u>cost map (a representation of decomposed and/or composed objects) and a <u>value</u>cost model (a representation of interconnected and related objects). The <u>value</u>cost maps, matrices and models are therefore used in the decomposition and composition work (within and throughout the layers).

By using the valuecost templates to manage the different kinds of highly connected information and relations, the valuecost creation is ensured. The valuecost map (which list the various related objects in order to capture the decomposed unrelated objects) is vital as well as the valuecost matrix (which composes in terms of relating specific objects together) and the valuecost model (which graphically represent the decomposed and composed objects) are both critical in integrating and standardizing the valuecost templates and tools of the practitioner. Furthermore, it is an essential part of supporting as well as integrating and standardizing the practitioner's Way of Thinking, Working and Modelling.

Last but not least, it ensures integration of the Enterprise Modelling and Enterprise Architecture objects and artefacts. Bringing an organization that uses the valuecost way of modelling templates to the highest maturity possible of working not only documented (level 3) or managed (level 4) but enabling optimization, governance and continuous improvement (level 5). The valuecost Reference Content in this way captures the aspects of the cost and valuecost of innovation and transformation within its profile for Key Performance Indicators (KPI).

## **Cost Model**

A Cost Model concentrates on topics like: how an organisation makes money by changing the value proposition (product/ service/ value mix) - and what needs to be done to optimize the pricing model. Changes in the Cost Model, also changes the business model upon which the entire organisation is build.

The business models involve the conception of how the business operates, its underlying foundations, and the exchange activities and financial flows upon which it depends. Such models are the architecture within which the various business competencies and activities take place. Cost Model changes with Cost Model are the most prominent, especially during challenging economic times. Considered easiest, but tends not to yield the same financial benefits, as the innovations are less defendable or lasting. Often used during downturns to rethink and improve enterprises' cost model and value proposition to respond to a different set of customer behaviours and market requirements. In fact, the outperformers offered a value-based service in an otherwise shrinking market. Service Model and Cost model are often combined to offer new services based on a new Cost Model.

The implications of Cost Model and pricing decisions are complex and have a fundamental impact on how your business operates. Those companies that have standardized services, offered on a periodic basis supported by a signed service agreement, seem to be far better off than those who don't use a recurring Cost Model. Organisations that put a lot of effort into refining their Cost Model had a major focus on topics like:

- The cost strategy and growth strategy.
- Development of core competitive and core differentiating.
- Growth, pricing models and value trade off.

• Owner responsibilities for optimization and development of growth and core critical competencies.

- Process integration and standardization to support the wanted cost model developments.
- Roles involved in the concept and developments.
- Business flow that needs to be changed or optimized to support new cost model concept.
- Which media should be involved in the cost model development and delivery.
- Channels that are needed in the wished cost model.

• Technology adoption, for the level of automation development though applications, data, platform and infrastructure to cut cost.

• Cost model measurements, in terms of critical success factors and key performance indicators.

- Cost model compliance to regulations and laws.
- Objects in terms of products and offerings that need to be developed for a new cost/cost/value trade off.
- Rules in terms of standards, guidelines and policies around the cost and pricing model.

While most types of business model innovation and transformation can lead to success, financial outperformers are more likely to focus on Cost Model initiatives (in combination with service and value model). Examples of Cost Model innovation and transformation:

1) Gillette innovated the pricing model by giving away razors and making money on the blades.

2) Netflix shifted the cost model from product / rental based to a subscription based annuity model.



# Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

Figure 19: An example of a value-based costing model.

In the context of value modelling principles, VBC will be used and applied in the course of developmental changes through which the value areas, subjects, products or services changes as it passes during its lifetime, from its value definition, value identification, value planning, value innovation, value creation, value realization and thereby existence to the possible value governance and improvements.

Value-based Costing is therefore applied in the entire Value Lifecycle phases:

- Value Identification, this is the phase where one explores, analysis, collects, captures, assesses, clarifies, appraises, evaluates, examines and then plans accordingly.
- Value Strategy & Planning, this is the phase where one initiates, aligns, arranges, blueprints, categorizes, charts, defines, determines, quantifies, drafts and outlines.
- Value Creation and/or Build, this is the phase where one compiles, shapes, composes, configures, constructs, crafts, builds, develops and tests.
- Value Implementation and/or Deployment, this is the phase where one launches, implements, executes, deploys, activates, completes, concludes, delivers and puts into operation/service.
- Value Realization and/or Operation, this is the phase where one administers, controls, maintains, manages, monitors, measures and audits.

 Value Governance and/or Continuous Improvement, this is the phase where one standardizes the existing, re-assesses, re-directs, adjusts, alters, re-evaluates, amends, changes, corrects, eliminates, enhances, increases, modifies, optimizes, transforms and/or revolutionizes.

The <u>Value</u>Cost Reference Content's Way of Implementation combines the <u>value</u>cost engineering, <u>value</u>cost modelling and <u>value</u>cost architecture principles in an order to apply the way of <u>value</u>cost thinking, <u>value</u>cost working and <u>value</u>cost modelling into the physical and thereby the <u>value</u>cost execution.

Most implementations fall short of transforming the business and creating real valuecost due to the fact that they automate the existing Way of Working around ValueCost concepts. Thereby actually reinforcing a siloed and ineffective way of automation. It is about the possibility to totally rethink the valuecost flow within the information flow, the service flow, the process flow as well as the measurement and reporting flow. It can fundamentally rethink and transform the different ways of working within an organization.

The Way of ValueCost Implementation has been developed as a fully integrated part of a Blueprinting and Implementation concept. In this way, the valuecost aspects can be integrated to any other engineering, modelling or architecture discipline e.g. process, service, application/software, data etc. With this the Way of Implementation provides a uniform and formal implementation concept of where the ValueCost meta-objects and artefacts can be used. By using decomposition and composition modelling techniques within the 40 steps of the Way of Implementation, the valuecost objects within the templates can be applied to the relevant subjects within the different layers (business, application or technology).



Figure 20: A model showing the 40 Blueprinting & Implementation steps across the Business, Application and Technology Layer.

Example of the Business Layer where the <u>Value</u>Cost Objects are used or applied within the implementation steps:

#### <u>Step 1: ValueCost Objects and the tasks to apply them within the Business Goals step:</u>

- ✓ Matrix: Link the business strategy through strategic business objectives to; 1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output (Figure 5).
- ✓ Matrix: Associate and attach goals, business goals, application goals and technology goals to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output
- ✓ Matrix: Associate and attach objectives, critical success factors, plans, forecasts and budgets to:
   1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output (Figure 5).

www.LEADingPractice.com

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria) Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

Matrix: Link and relate **performance indicators**, operational performance indicators, tactical performance operations and strategic performance indicators, to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output (Figure 5).

#### Step 3: ValueCost Objects and the tasks to apply them within the Business Competency step:

- ✓ Map: Identify the **cost type**: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types.
- ✓ Map: Identify the **cost flow**: 1. Cost flow inputs, 2. Cost flow outputs.
- Matrix: Associate and relate the cost types, high cost, medium cost and low cost types to cost flow; 1. Cost flow input and 2. Cost flow output.
- Model: Construct a Cost Model that outlines the relationship between cost types, high cost type, medium cost type and low cost type and cost flow; 1. Cost flow input, 2. Cost flow output.
- Map: Recognize and group the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output of each **business area and group** (Figure 5).
- Matrix: Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific **business area and group** (Figure 7).
- ✓ Map: Identify and label cost to **location** (Figure 5).
- ✓ Map: Identify the **stakeholders** that belong to which cost flow (Figure 5).
- Map: Identify the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input,
   5. Cost flow output of the core differentiating, core competitive and non-core competencies.
- Matrix: Associate and relate the identified competency type, core differentiating, core competitive and non-core competencies with each specific; 1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.
- Map: Identify which business owner, service owner, process owner, application owner, data owner, platform owner, infrastructure owner belongs to which: 1. High cost type, 2. Medium cost type, 3. Low cost type, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output (Figure 5).
- Matrix: Associate and connect each specific business owner, service owner, process owner, application owner, data owner, platform owner, infrastructure owner to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.
- Matrix: Link business roles, service roles, process roles, and application roles to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output (Figure 13).

#### <u>Step 4: ValueCost Objects and the tasks to apply them within the Business process step:</u>

- Matrix: Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific process area and group (Figure 11).
- Matrix: Associate the cost flows to business processes, process steps and process activities.
- ✓ Model: Construct a Cost Model that relates the process flows to the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output

<u>Step 5: ValueCost Objects and the tasks to apply them within the Measurement & reporting</u> <u>step:</u> **Formatted:** Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0,63 cm + Indent at: 1,27 cm

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1,27 cm, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)
Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria) Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

1	Formatted:	Indent:	Left:	1,27	cm,	No bullets	or	numbering

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria) Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

- ✓ Matrix: Attach and relate the; 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to the **measurements**, process measurements (PPI's) and system measurements.
- ✓ Model: Construct a Cost Model that relates the measurements, process measurements (PPI's) and system measurements to; 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.

#### <u>Step 8: ValueCost Objects and the tasks to apply them within the Business Service step:</u>

- Matrix: Link the service construct (setup & delivery) to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types,
   Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.
- Matrix: Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific **business service area**.
- ✓ Matrix: Associate the: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output to each specific service area and group (Figure 9).
- Matrix: Link service tiers, strategic service tiers, tactical service tiers and operational service tiers to: 1. High cost types, 2. Medium cost types, 3. Low cost types, 4. Cost flow input, 5. Cost flow output.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1,27 cm, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria) Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

# **Roles involved**

Formatted: Heading 1

The following roles are involved in the valuecost identification, valuecost planning, valuecost creation, valuecost realization as well as the valuecost governance of the ValueCost Templates:

ENTERPRISE MODELLERS	ENTERPRISE ENGINEERS	ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTS
Business Analyst (P)	Value Engineer (P)	Business Architect (P)
Process eXpert (P)	Technology Engineer (P)	Solution Architect (P)
Value eXpert (P)	Process Engineer (P)	Value Architect (P)
Information eXpert (S)	Quality Engineer (P)	Data Architect (P)
Service eXpert (P)	Change Engineer (P)	Service Architect (P)
Transformation eXpert (S)	Software Engineer (P)	Technology Architect (P)
		Process Architect (P)
		Enterprise Architect (P)
		Information Architect (P)

(P) = Primary object/role

(S) = Secondary object/role

# Conclusion

While this document should be seen and used as a detailed description of how the valuecost reference content can be used, it does not have all aspects of the valuecost reference content and thereby its valuecost engineering, modelling and architecture content. It attempted to build a basis of a structured way of thinking, working, modelling and implementation of valuecost objects. It endeavoured to provide a standardized terminology, build common understanding and make available the standardized and integrated valuecost templates. Enabling practitioners to use the valuecost reference content to:

- Identify the relevant value cost objects.
- Decompose the valuecost objects into the smallest parts that can, should and needs to be modelled, and then compose the valuecost objects entities before building them (through mapping, simulation and scenarios).
- Visualize and clarify valuecost object relationships with the valuecost artefacts by using maps, matrices and models (alternative representation of information).
- Reduce and/or enhance complexity of valuecost modelling, valuecost engineering and valuecost architecture principles applying the valuecost decomposition and composition standard (see Decomposition and Composition Reference Content)
- Model the relevant valuecost objects through the architectural layers (see Layered Architecture Reference Content).
- Adding ValueCost Requirements (see Requirement Reference Content)
- Provide a structured ValueCost Blueprinting and Implementation (see Blueprint & Implementation Reference Content).

For further learning around semantic object relations, decomposition and composition, layered modelling, engineering and architecture or how the <u>value</u>cost reference content can be used within the other LEADing Practice Reference Contents we refer both to the LEADing Practice Body of Knowledge document as well as the other LEADing Practice Enterprise Standards and their Reference Content on www.LEADingPractice.com.

Please be aware that while we are a Community Open Source with absolutely no cost associated around our Enterprise Standards and Reference Content our material is copyright, intellectual capital and trademark protected (WIPO- World Intellectual Property Organization where we have a global patent license). Limitations apply to the use/re-use as we only provide our community with open access to all material. If you are interested to use our material or want to join our community, please feel free to contact us. We in the LEADing Practice community with +3000 organizations as well as the Global University Alliance (our founders) with +300 Universities look forward to you joining a whole new way of thinking, working, modelling and implementing valuecost objects.

**Formatted:** Font: +Headings (Cambria), English (United Kingdom)

Formatted: Heading 1

Formatted: Font: +Headings (Cambria)

# © Copyright note on Intellectual Capital: All rights reserved

LEADing Practice ApS respects the intellectual property of others, and we ask others to do the same. All information and materials contained in the LEAD frameworks, methods and approaches with associated tools and templates, such as maps, matrices and models is Intellectual Capital (IC) and Intellectual Property (IP) of LEADing Practice ApS and limitations apply to the reuse of this IC/IP. The intellectual Property Rights (IPR) consists of information, knowledge, objects, artefacts, experience, insight and/or ideas, that are structured to enable reuse to deliver valuecost creation and realization.

The LEADing Practice ApS, often referred to as LEAD, intellectual capital is protected by law, including, but not limited to, internationally recognized United States and European Union IPR copyright law. Except as specifically indicated otherwise in writing, LEADing Practice ApS is the owner of the copyright in the entire LEAD Frameworks content (including images, text and look and feel attributes) and LEADing Practice ApS reserves all rights in that regard. Use or misuse of the IPR, the trademarks, service mark or logos is expressly prohibited and may violate country, federal and state law.

LEADing Practice ApS is an open architecture and community open source standard and therefore provides open access to all deliverables for certified LEAD practitioners, thereby ensuring that modelling principles are applied correctly. A open architecture and open standard community has been set in place to encourage sharing, learning and reuse of information and thereby increase knowledge among LEAD community practitioners, and with this ultimately improvement of one's project, engagement and the LEAD development.

Use of the LEAD Reference Content (including frameworks, methods and approaches) is restricted to certified LEAD community members in good practitioner standing, who are able to use these items solely for their non-commercial internal use. Legal access to the details of LEAD will be provided to you with your membership. Members are prohibited from sharing the LEAD material in its entirety with other parties who are not members of LEAD community since the concepts and models are protected by intellectual property rights.

#### Guidelines for LEAD community members using the IPR material

As a LEAD member comes greater personal responsibility and the following intellectual property conditions apply:

- Can be used free of charge for LEAD certified practitioners.
- Cannot be share, copied or made available for non-community member, which are not LEAD certified practitioners.

When using any materials, it must include a source notice – either in an adjacent area or as a footnote – to indicate the source. The source should be specified the following way: "Source: A part of the LEAD Reference Content" (with an indication of which type of Enterprise or Industry

Standard it belongs to) and possibly indicate the LEAD work product family, such as "Part of the LEAD Process Reference Content".

• Cannot be systematically "given away" – do not download all our content and simply hand it over to other colleagues or clients that are not trained and certified.

To ensure correct usage, any company usage of the LEAD material e.g. templates and tools has to be tailored and agreed upon by LEADing Practice ApS . LEADing Practice ApS may, in appropriate circumstances and at its discretion, terminate the access/accounts of users who infringe the intellectual property rights and pursue legal action.

#### Guidelines for non-LEAD community members using the IPR material

The following conditions apply to use of the LEAD Intellectual Property for non-community members:

• Can be used free of charge for lecturing and research at any University and Business School

Material available at www.LEADingpractice.com can be used in a non-commercial way for knowledge sharing. When using any materials, it must include a source should be specified the following way: "Source: A part of the LEAD Reference Content" (with an indication of which type of Enterprise or Industry Standard it belongs to) and possibly indicate the LEAD work product family, such as "Part of the LEAD Process Reference Content".

## General guidelines that apply for all LEAD IPR material

- Any use of original texts, graphics, images, screen shots, and other materials from LEAD sources must be approved by LEADing Practice ApS.
- Any material cannot be generally distributed to colleagues, clients and or an undefined audience without written permission from LEADing Practice ApS.
- Cannot be altered or changed (the using company) in any way without explicit written permission from LEADing Practice ApS.

In most cases, the LEADing Practice ApS acts as a distribution channel for the Publisher and Authors of the material provided. LEADing Practice ApS may, in appropriate circumstances of infringement of the intellectual property rights pursue legal action. For questions, please get in touch with us at <u>info@LEADingPractice.com</u>.